					_					<u> </u>						
		Details of Risk			I	Inher Risl			Res	idua	l Risk	Actions				
;	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
Г	A. CUSTOMER PERSPECTIVE					•										\Box
\vdash			1		İ	1		Regular audits of assessment processes and the use of trend data to	T i					ĭ	1	
					4	4	16	identify children's needs at the earliest stage.	3	4	12	1. Embed and extend the audit process	HoCSC	Feb-17		
		Significant increase in the numbers of children and adults requiring services.	Inability to cope with demand and increased safeguarding risk.					Other safeguarding measures in place to identify service users and close liaison between multi agency partners to help manage any unanticipated increase in need.				Multi-agency panels enable commissioners of services to consider and plan to meet needs jointly and agree funding.	DSCH	ТВС		
		Increased demand for services arising from increased financial and social pressure on individuals, families and communities.	Client dissatisfaction, complaints.					Linkage with Business Planning process with Council commitment to protecting the vulnerable.				Utilise information from Joint Strategic Needs Assessment (JSNA) to target services in Adult Social Care and Children's Services and inform commissioning.	DSCH	ТВС		
		3. Increasing demographic pressures regarding adults with complex needs creates continued pressure on the care budget.	Reputational damage to the Council.					4. Placements Strategy / Turning the Tap Commissioning approach.				Implementation of Eligibility Criteria for Children's Social Work services.	DSCH	ТВС		
		4. The Council does not have appropriate staff resources with the right skills and experiences to deliver Council priorities.	4. Regulatory criticism.					 Identification of resources requirements within budgetary process and/or when significant need arises. 				Maintain communications with CQC / Monitoring contracts. Instigate a quality review and work with those providers that require support to improve service delivery.	HoCSBI	ТВС		
A	Lack of capacity to fully resource the demand for services in respect of children	Sudden increase in population in one area due to large building development.	5. More Providers potentially not meeting required standards, thus resulting in restrictions on supply of services.	DSCH				Application of Council Permanence Policy (Children's services)				6. Maintain market oversight / Analysis work relating to the cost-of-care / Liverpool City Region & Health joint working on monitoring quality and performance of services.	HoCSBI	TBC		
	and adults.	6. National / Local tragedy.	Additional budgetary impact on the Council.					 Ongoing review of services to be commissioned with considerations of budgetary position. 				7. Forecasting work in conjunction with other Local Authorities / Understanding of the cost of care / Service review exercises.	HoCSBI	ТВС		
		7. Budgetary pressures and reducing finances.	7. Additional budgetary impact on the Council / Potential for Provider Failure.					8. Regular communication with CQC / Monitoring of Services / Maintaining market oversight information.				Ensure regular review of budget and implement actions to mitigate against overspends. Particularly relevant for maanaging the impact of BCF shortfall.	HoASC	monthly	Dec-16	
		8. Impact of revised CQC regulatory and inspection regime.	Care Home closure have already arisen and we have a number of homes rated as inadequate.					 Market oversight and assessment of demand and supply. Review of Market position statement and development of market facillitation strategy. 	t			Implementation of Ofsted Improvement Plan (27th June 2016)	DSCH	ongoing		
		9. Lack of 'affordable' Adult Care Home Placements (i.e. Homes which do not levy a top-up).	Seeing an increase of third party top ups being applied leading to unmanaged cost increase.					10. Joint working with other Local Authority Finance Departments to fully assess potential impacts / Understanding of potential impacts on individual providers / Reviewing of Services with potential to re-negotiate and re- commission contracts.								
		10. Impact of Minimum Wage / Living Wage.	Care sector unable to recruite staff and sustain delivery. Reduced ASC budget due to					11. Independent Inspection / Regulation (Ofsted)								
		11. Better Care Fund, health and social care integration by 2020,	assumend transfer of BCF funding notbeing provided by health leading to a £6m shortfall in ASC budget					Performance management of the budget, utilising underspends to resoucre shortfall.								

					_		luu	it and Governance Committee 7/12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
		Details of Risk				Inhere Risk			Resid	lual R	Risk	Actions				
Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
A2	Failure of the Council's arrangements for safeguarding vulnerable children and adults.	Insufficient skilled and experienced staff in Social Care.	Increased or significant harm to a child or an adult receiving services from the Council and/or harm not mitigated.	DSCH	4	4	16	Multi-agency Safeguarding Boards.	3	4	12	New chair recruited following resignation of previous chair. Liverpool City Region Safeguarding Adults Board under development.	TW	Apr-17		
		Instability of social care workforce.	Reputational damage to Council.					 Safeguarding Procedures, monitored during on-going supervision, and via service quality monitoring arrangements including case file audits and audits undertaken by LCSB/SAB/ASC. 				Quality reviews of case files commenced for adults bringing them in line with good practice progress will be monitored to ensure findings are fed into service development	TW	Apr-17		
		Quality Assurance processes fail to identify poor practice.	3. Regulatory Criticism.					 Adults Safeguarding Practice Guidance and Procedures in place for Partners and reviewed regularly and are now in the process of being updated as a result of an action identified in the Adults Safeguarding Peer Review. 								
		Volume of work exceeds staff capacity.	Negative impact upon workforce and ability to recruit.					Regular sharing of information with regulating bodies/ NWADASS Sector Led Improvement Peer Review Team, including regulator reviews across Social Care Services.								
		5. Information not shared effectively between different parts of the safeguarding system.	5. Financial claims against the Council.					Skilled and experienced safeguarding leads & their managers.								
		Poor case recording and record sharing.						 Comprehensive and robust recruitment and training and development policies for staff, including safer employment practices and arrangements for induction and ongoing development including case recording. 								
		Safer Recruitment procedures not consistently implemented.						7. Continuous process of updating practice and procedures, linking to local and national trends, including learning from local and national reviews such as Serious Case Reviews/Safeguarding Adult Reviews.								
		Inadequate file retention in respect of Goddard Enquiry requirements.						Health and Wellbeing Strategy includes commitment from partners to safeguarding children and young people.								
		Significant data breach						9. Multi agency Safeguarding Adults Board and subgroups in place.								
		10. National event / tragedy.						10. Adult and Children's Safeguarding training strategy.								
		11. Historical or current Child Sexual Exploitation case within the Borough.						11. Complaints process informs practice.								
								12. Children's Social Care Performance Management system.								
								13. Robust challenge and partnership engagement through the LSCB / Annual Report of the LSCB.								
								14. Multi Agency Child Sexual Exploitation (MACSE) Panel meets fortnightly to discuss all children and young people considered to be at risk of or experiencing child sexual abuse.								
								15. Referrals of child protection concerns via the Multi Agency Safeguarding Hub (MASH) and Multi Agency Children Sexual Exploitation (MACSE) Safeguarding plans as appropriate.								
								16. LSCB Child Sexual Exploitation Partnership Pathway to co-ordinate multiagency work to identify child sexual exploitation and awareness raising of Pathway across the Borough.								
								17. Co-ordination of Child Sexual Exploitation (CSE) intelligence by CSE Business Officer Analyst.								

The quality, responsiveress. A3 The quality, responsiveress. Contractor processes services fills to expensive in success and contractor resources awarded in situation of contractor and contractor expensive in success and processes. A5 The quality, responsiveress. Contractor organization of Information Asset management policies and procedures. A5 The quality, responsiveress. A6 The quality, responsiveress. Contractor organization of Org					4			te dira Governanos Gommittos 1711									
1. Compared resource within ASC relating to Safeguarding Adults. 2. Independent importance of information Asset management policies and procedures. 3. Incorporate requirement, process and procedures of all comments of contractors of contractors of an incorporate of contractors of contractor										Res	sidua	l Risk	Actions				
A3 The stally, response design of the state of contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contractor resources available to support SME are exacted and contract resources available to support SME are exacted and provided in a time, contractor expense to contract to contract expense to contract expense to contract to contract expense to	Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
The quality, responsiveness, standard and outcomes of contractor recoursed available to support SMBC are reduced as contract or requirements and contract co									18. Implementation of Information Asset management policies and procedures	S.							П
The quality, responsiveness, standard and outcomes of contractor resources wailable to outcome develore falls meet Serfan Council responsiveness and contract eventures and contract compliance. 2 Failure to manage contractor service delivery to SMBC. 3 Lack of contractor expensive in technical requirements. 4. Significant ICT failure or outlage (i.e. toos of primary data control southern fands). 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards. 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards. 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards. 6. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve (Service). 7. Any issues set: reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. 8. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 8. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agents of Better Curve. 9. F														DSCH	Ongoing		
The quality, responsiveness, standard and outcomes of support services to SMBC are reduced a support services to SMBC are reduced as contractor separate in contractor separate in qualifier to small particular and professional manner. 2. Follows to manage contractor services in substance of cellway to SMBC. 3. Lack of contractor expertise in substance of cellway to SMBC. 3. Lack of contractor expertise in substance of cellway to SMBC. 4. Significant ICT failure or outage (i.e. loss of primary data centre, sustained unavailability of systems.) 5. Non-compliance with Covernment and industrial substance of the cells of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 4. Significant ICT failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 5. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 5. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 6. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 7. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 7. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 7. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agends (Better Care Fund). 8. Support services to SMBC. 9. Support services to small services to small services to monitor and move for services to monitor and move for services to severate to s							+						2016)				$\vdash \vdash$
2. Failure to deliver in respect of Larger to deliver in respe	А3	standard and outcomes of outsourced services fails to meet Sefton Council requirements and contract	support SMBC are reduced as	are not provide in a timely, accurate and professional	HoCS	4	4	16		3	4	12	Ongoing monitoring of compliance and performance.	CFO	Ongoing		
3. Service failures 4. Significant ICT failure or outage (i.e. loss of primary data certife, sustained unavailability of systems.) 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards 6. Foliure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). Failure to deliver in respect of Care Fund. Failure to achieve performance 1. Failure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 2. Failure to achieve performance 2. Failure to achieve performance 3. Services need to revert to non-ICT delivery methods; may not have access to key data. 5. Contractor contact in place and regularly reviewed in detail. 6. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required. 7. Any issues etc. reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. 8. Monitoring via ICT Working Group, Joint Project Board and FISOB 8. Monitoring via ICT Working Group, Joint Project Board and FISOB 9. Contractor contact in place and regularly reviewed in detail. 9. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required. 7. Any issues etc. reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. 8. Monitoring via ICT Working Group, Joint Project Board and FISOB 9. Contractor contact in place and regularly reviewed in detail. 9. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required. 9. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 9. Contractor contact in place and regularly reviewed in detail. 9. Substitute of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 1. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 1. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 2. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 3. 3. 4. Contractor performance in accordance with part agent ag			2.Failure to manage contractor service delivery to SMBC.	submissions Government returns - penalties and loss of									implement second data centre for business continuity	CFO	Ongoing		
4. Significant ICl failure of outage (i.e. loss of primary data centre, sustained unavailability of systems.) 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards 5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards 5. Potential for financial penalties and suspension of key services 6. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required. 7. Any issues etc. reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. 8. Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). Failure to deliver of agreement. Financial / reputational loss arising from ineffective working practice, and potential legal challenges. PSCH 2. Failure to achieve performance Loss of BCE funding. PSCH 2. Budget monitoring and renorting in accordance with povernance framework. DSCH 2. Budget monitoring and renorting in accordance with povernance framework.				3. Service failures					3. SMBC performance management arrangements.				Monitoring via ICT Working Group, Joint Project Board and FISOB	CFO	Ongoing		
5. Non-compliance with Government and industry standards penalties and suspension of key services 6. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required. 7. Any issues etc. reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. A4 Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). Financial / reputational loss arising from ineffective working practice, and potential legal challenges. DSCH 2 Failure to achieve performance Loss of BCE funding DSCH 2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework. DSCH 2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework.			loss of primary data centre, sustained	non-ICT delivery methods; may					Contractor performance management team.					CF0	Ongoing		
7. Any issues etc. reported from Council Services, Stakeholders, Public & Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. A4 Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). Financial / reputational loss arising from ineffective working practice, and potential legal challenges. DSCH 4 1 Governance arrangements established via the Health & Well Being Board. 2 Failure to achieve performance Loss of BCE funding DSCH 2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework			Non-compliance with Government and industry standards	penalties and suspension of key					5. Contractor contact in place and regularly reviewed in detail.								
Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). Failure to achieve performance Loss of RCE funding Elected Members are reviewed and escalated for action when required. Financial / reputational loss arising from ineffective working practice, and potential legal challenges. DSCH A4 1 Governance arrangements established via the Health & Well Being Board. 2 Failure to achieve performance Loss of RCE funding DSCH 2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework 2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework									6. Failures and incidents reported and escalated when required.								
A4 Failure to deliver in respect of the integration agenda (Better Care Fund). 1 Failure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 1 Failure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 2 Failure to achieve performance 2 Failure to achieve performance 3 3 3 9 Ongoing monitoring via the Health & Well Being Board. 2 Failure to achieve performance 3 3 3 9 Ongoing monitoring via the Health & Well Being Board. 4 4 2 1 Governance arrangements established via the Health & Well Being Board. 5 Pailure to achieve performance 4 4 2 2 Failure to achieve performance 5 Pailure to achieve performance 6 Pailure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 7 Pailure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 8 Pailure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement. 9 Ongoing monitoring via the Health & Well Being Board. 9 Pailure to achieve performance 1 Section 75 agreement. 9 Pailure to achieve performance																	
I I OSS OT BL. F TUDDING I U.S. OT BL. F TUDING I U.S. OT BL. F TUDDING I U.S. OT BL. F TUDDING I U.S.	A4	the integration agenda (Better	1 Failure to operate in accordance with Section 75 agreement.	arising from ineffective working practice, and potential legal	DSCH	4	4		1 Governance arrangements established via the Health & Well Being Board.	3	3	9	Ongoing monitoring via the Health & Well Being Board.	DSCH	Ongoing		
			•	Loss of BCF funding	DSCH				2 Budget monitoring and reporting in accordance with governance framework.								
																	П

							144	The difference committee 1/12	-/ -	<u> </u>						
		Details of Risk				Inher Risl			Res	idual	l Risk	Actions				
- N - 1-10	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
A	Failure to transform Council services.	Lack of leadership, innovation, capacity and financial investment to transform services.	1. The Council is unable to develop and deliver agreed prioritised change initiatives which will impact on the delivery of approved savings and fails to meet statutory responsibilities or budget targets.	HoSS	3	4	12	Council and partners to agree a Vision Outcomes Framework	3	3	9	The Council has led on developing a new and exciting vision for the future of the borough. Working closely with partners, businesses, private sector organisations, the voluntary, community and faith sector and the wider Sefton community, the aim is to focus on what is important and to be ambitious for the borough and its communities in the future. 17th November 2016 Council will consider the Vision and Outcomes Framework will guide long term planning - helping to collectively stimulate growth, prosperity, set new expectation levels and to help focus on what is important for Sefton.	HoSS & Head of Comm Supp	Nov-16		
		Failure to develop a prioritised range of initiatives to the Council's improvement and efficiencies agenda.	Adverse effect on delivery of outcomes for communities.					Consistent Change & Project Management techniques overseen by Project Boards.				Alongside this the Council is developing a major change programme to enable the Council to be sustainable and fit for the future, Underpinning this the Council will implement a Performance framework.	HoSS & Head of Comm Supp	Feb-16	Apr-17	In progress
		Ineffective monitoring of implementation of change.	Adverse reputational impact upon the Council.					Project Governance including quality assurance processes and maintenance of Project Risk Registers.				Communications Framework to be updated once Vision Outcomes Framework has been considered by Council.	HoSS & Head of Comm Supp	Feb-16	ongoing	
		Council culture does not facilitate the required speed of change to deliver change.	Intended benefits from project initiation are not realised.					Effective communication plans and reporting of Transformation projects to Boards, SLB / Cabinet Members/ Cabinet within existing Council decision making frameworks				Embed quality assurance and performance culture across Council.	SLB	ongoing	ongoing	
		5. Transformation projects do not align with the strategic direction and objectives of the Council.						. Benefits realisation is effectively tracked through the life of each project.				4. Continue to embed One Council .	SLB	ongoing	ongoing	
								6. Post Implementation Reviews are undertaken								
								7. Clear ownership in the delivery of change								
A	Failure to manage sufficient fuel	Diesel stocks reduce to a level whereby standard fleet operation is not possible.	Council unable to undertake a number of functions including street cleansing, refuse collection, recycling, coastal protection.	HoLSP	4	4	16	Management of fuel stock via automated and manual control mechanisms and systems	2	3	6	Expand existing storage and fuel management systems at Hawthorne Road Depot to provide a capacity of 45,000 litres	HoLSP	May-17		
	stocks to enable normal fleet operation and also to operate in emergency situations		protection.					Implementation of storage facilities to allow for continued fleet operation in the event of fuel shortages/rationing.				Install new fuel storage system at Formby Bypass Depot to provide a capacity of 20,000 litres.	HoLSP	May-17		
								Manage fuel delivery and storage system to provide enough fuel for 1 months operation of the Council fleet in emergency situations.				60,000 litres of fuel would be required to deliver all frontline servcies for 1 month. This can be achieved via the storage and operational practices detailed above.	HoLSP	May-17		
A	Loss or suspension of vehcile fleet 'Operators Licence'	Operators Licence suspended or revoked following random vehicle check by VOSA	The whole Council vehcile fleet would be unable to operate. Hire vehicles could also not be obtained as there would be no Operators Licence in place. Council services would suffer severe disruption.	HoLSP	4	4	16	Ensure that the vehicle fleet is maintained to the required standards in terms of frequency	2	2	4	Council HGV fleet maintained on a six weekly programme in accordance with all DVLA and VOSA requirements.	HoLSP	Ongoing		

_		,					744	it and Governance Committee 7/12	<u> </u>	<u> </u>						
		Details of Risk				Inher Risi			Resi	idual F	Risk	Actions				
Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
		Operators Licence suspended or revoked following major accident or incidnet involving a Council vehicle following which it is proved that the vehicle was defective or unraodworthy.						Ensure that the Councils vehicle maintenace section is suitably qualified and experienced to deliver necessary outputs.				Maintain ongoing accreditation and inspection regimes by VOSA.	HoLSP	Ongoing		
												Achieve and maintain the highest 'green' category of accreditation by VOSA and DVLA.	HoLSP	Ongoing		
A8		Fire alarm triggered at Council facility and not acted upon.	Severe damage to, or total loss of facility. Service disruption. Loss of reputation.	HoLSP	4	5	20	Continuous 24 hour operation of BS5979 Alarm Receiving Centre	2	2	4	Maintain accreditations from a variety of national bodies.	HoLSP	Ongoing		
	Failure to monitor, secure and protect Council facilities and	Council facilities not protected by suitable alarm systems.	Increased insurance premiums. Lack of insurance cover. Risk to facility and reputation.	HoLSP				Mobile Patrol Service able to respond to any incident 24 hours a day				Ensure that all systems at any Council facility meet the necessary standards to provide the maximum lelvel of protection.	HoLSP	Ongoing		
	Schools from intruders, dmage, theft or fire							Provision of Gold Standard services accredited by the National Securtities Inspectorate for fire alarm, intruder alarm, and CCTV system design, installation and maintenance.								
								Key account management of partners.								
A9		Significant increase in partners requiring services.	Inability to cope with demand and increased risk or poor service delivery.		4	4	16	They account management of partitions.	3	4	12					
	Failure to meet partner expectations - greater role as	2. The Council does not have appropriate staff resources with the right skills and experiences to deliver Council priorities.	Partner dissatisfaction, complaints.	HoH&R				2. Skilled and experienced staff								
	facilitator and enable rather than direct delivery		Reputational damage to the Council.	Honax												
	B. FINANCIAL & RESOURCES															
B1	Inability to deliver the planned savings and efficiency targets within the two year financial plan.	Failure to deliver the two-year plan and achieve required efficiency savings and service transformation.	The Council is unable to achieve required savings and fails to meet statutory responsibilities or budget targets.		5	4	20	Robust service planning; priorities cascaded through management teams and through appraisal process.	4	1	4	Regular budget Monitoring and Reporting is undertaken, to both Senior Leadership Board and Council.	CE/CFO	Ongoing		
		Assumptions in existing two-year Plan regarding the wider economic situation are inaccurate.	Need for reactive in year savings.	CE /CFO				Strategy in place to communicate vision and plan throughout the Council.								
		Organisation not sufficiently aligned to face challenges.	of outcomes. 4. Adverse impact on the					Effective Performance Management arrangements. Governance Framework to manage Transformation agenda.								
ı		I	Councils reserve position	I	I											

					+		100			<u> </u>						
		Details of Risk				Inher Risk			Res	idual	Risk	Actions				
Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
								 Rigorous Risk Management discipline embedded in all transformation programmes / projects, with escalation process to SLB/ Chief Executive. Integrated performance and resource reporting monitoring of progress against savings targets. Regular meetings with the s.151 officer, Overview and Scrutiny, Cabinet and SLB to track exceptions and identify remedial actions. Effective treasury management in place plus ongoing tracking of national and international economic factors and Government policy. Prudent use of reserves for minor deviations. 								
B2	Ongoing reductions in budget risk the sustainability of the Council and the ability to deliver a secure and robust Budget Plan over the next five years.	vision, priorities and outcomes of the Council's Strategic Plans.	The Council lacks clear direction for resource use and either over-spends, requiring the need for reactive savings during the life of the plan.	CE / CFO	5	4	20	Robust political leadership, strong vision, clear priorities and policies, developed through councillor engagement.	4	3	12	Impact of relevant financial factors and pressures reflected in ongoing review of the Medium Term Financial Plan	CE/CFO	Ongoing		
		necessary efficiency savings and service	2. The Council spends limited resources unwisely, to the detriment of local communities.					Robust engagement with members of SLB and Councillors through the Budget Planning process timetable, to ensure greater cross-organisational challenge and development of options.								
		Failure to identify sufficient additional savings in addition to existing plans, in light of CSR.	3. The Council level of reserves falls below acceptable levels.					 Full consultation with public, partners and businesses during planning process, including thorough use of data research and business intelligence to inform the planning process. 								
		Worsening Pension Fund deficit.						 Stronger links with service planning across the Council seeking to transform large areas of spend. 								
		Legislative changes add unforeseen pressures to Council financial position.						Budget / Business Planning process requires early identification of possible impacts of legislative changes, as details emerge.								
В3	Failure to contribute towards economic growth reduces Council income (Council Tax and Business Rates), community wellbeing, resilience and prosperity.	Poor local economic performance, leading to falling investment and rising unemployment.	1.Increased business failures.	CE/HollE	4	4	16	Quality of, and access to, Business Support Services in Merseyside, with priority to (re-)investment, exporting and job growth.	4	3	12	Monitoring the impact of the Key Controls identified in the Risk Register, and taking corrective action as necessary	CE/HollE	Quarterly		
		Slow national economic recovery	2. Reduced business start ups in the borough.					Regular monitoring of economic data for warning signs.				Complete the Economic Assessment and implement the Economic Strategy for Sefton.	CE/HollE	Jun-17		
		3. Reduced relocation of / disinvestment by companies within the borough.	3. Increased unemployment.					3. Analysis of informal information from partners and business community.								
		Determination of the local business rate.	Lost investment to the borough.					4. Priority to job-rich sectors in line with the LCR Single Growth Strategy.								

					1											
		Details of Risk				Inhere Risk			Resid	lual F	Risk	Actions				
Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact	Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
		5. Council appetite for promoting acceptable economic growth.						5. Engagement of members with Sefton's economic performance.								
		6. Impact of Brexit						Sefton's influence over LCR resources including Single Investment Fund								
								7. Growth Programme to co-ordinate Council activity on growth.								
								8. Income maximisation plan for business rates								
								Mechanisms to capture social value of all investment and development								
								10. Action to divert workless residents from high-cost services and towards employment & learning, that will increase personal resilience in the long-term								
		Ineffective procurement processes.	Poor value for money.		4	3	12	Council Contract Procedure Rules (CPR)	3	3		A full review is being undertaken of Procurement Process, Rules and Guidance with changes to CPR reported to Audit & Governance Committee		Dec-16		
В4	The Council does not achieve best value from its commissioning, procurement and contracts.	Lack of awareness of procurement processes across the Council.	2. Legal challenge.	HoComm Supp & BI	1			Awareness training on CPR provided to Management teams in 2014/15				The Council's CPR, commissioning and procurement processes, and staff training will be kept under review to ensure that commissioning and procurement are as effective as resources allow.	HoComm Supp & BI	Ongoing		
	and somulation	3. Ineffective contract management.	Wasted time and effort in contractual dispute.					Effective contract management processes are in place								
В5	Fraud / Irregularity / Staff Misconduct	4 . Attempts to defraud the Council.	4. Financial / reputational / legal costs.	HoCR	5	3	15	1 Regular update & dissemination of relevant policy & procedure	4	3	12	Regular review of policy	HoCR	Ongoing		
								2 Internal Audit				Delivery of audit plan & investigatory review	CIA	Ongoing		
	C. SYSTEMS AND PROCESSES															
		Staff unaware of changes to legislative /regulatory requirements.	Adverse reports from regulators.	HoRC	3	4	12	Legal Services robust and up to date with appropriate legislation.	2	3	6	Code of Corporate Governance to be re-written and agreed by the Audit and Governance Committee.	CIA /HoRC	Ongoing		
		2. Lack of staff training.	Criminal or civil action against the Council.					Senior Managers brief SLB / members on legislative changes.								
		3. Lack of management review.	3. Reputational Damage.					3. Monitoring Officer role.								
		4. Information management / data protection / FOI	4. Financial Penalties.					4. Code of Corporate Governance.								
	Non compliance with legislative							5. Community / equality Impact assessments required for budget decisions.								
C1	Non-compliance with legislative and regulatory requirements.							6. Constitutional delegation to Committees and SLB.								
•	1	1	•	•	•	•		•					. '	'	•	

_								t und Ootomanoo Oommittee 1712									
		Details of Risk				nhere Risk			Res	idual	ıl Ri:	isk	Actions				
Risk No.	Risk Description	Trigger	Result	Owner	Probability	Impact	Score	Key Controls	Probability	Impact		Residual Score	Actions	Action Owner	Target Date	Revised Target Date	Action Status
								7. H&S policy and processes.									
								8. Legal clearance on all published member decisions.									
								9. Adhoc training for members on specific legislation.									
								10. Membership of NW Legal Consortium with access to relevant professional training.									
								11. Development of appropriate courses with the Learning and Development Unit.									